# **University Area Review Board**

50 West Gay Street, Fourth Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-9031 (614) 645-6096 (614) 645-1483 fax



**STAFF REPORT** 

date place July 17, 2014 Northwood & High Building 2231 North High Street, Room 100 6:30pm

time

A.

Approval of Minutes

1. Meeting Summary from June

В.	Applications for Certificate of Approval					
1.	81 East 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Alpha Sigma Phi Fraternity					
applicant:	Pat Zollars (Contracting Solutions)					
to be reviewed:	windows					
6:30						
review type	concept review X new construction	ext. bldg. alteration sign or graphic				
	variance, re-zoning or special permit other (Code Enforcement)					
existing zoning	AR4 / UID					
proposed zoning / variance						
guideline impacted	Building and Façade Design					
analysis	The Applicant is proposing to replace several windows on the second floor of this residence with vinyl replacement windows from Simonton. The structure at 81 East 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue is a "Contributing Building", built in 1908 and currently houses the Alpha Sigma Phi Fraternity.  Staff offered the Applicant an option of replacing all the windows as proposed except for 'Exhibit 1'. Staff is of the opinion that the replacement window lacks some of the architectural qualities that 'Exhibit 1' gives to the front (15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue) façade.					
recommendation	approval X conditional approval	disapproval insufficient information				
conditions	Repair or replace 'Exhibit 1' window with a window	w of exacting details.				
	of Approval is subject to and evaluated upon the gu the Review Board. Though the following guidelines appropriate proposal, they may not address or be a circumstances may suggest variations that could yie (3)  General guidelines: (c)  Building. A new building or any addition and appropriate with its own integrity and with that places to which it is visually related in terms of: plat projections); roofs (e.g., cornice/eaves, dormers, or materials; ornamentation, trim and detailing; and or skylights, awnings, mechanical equipment, mailboxe considered:  1.)  Elements of a non-habitable building, ind exterior stair, should be compatible and/or consiste and balustrade, should be in keeping with the reside 2.)  Building appurtenances and projections, window, awning, exterior stair, light fixture, or signal building itself and the character of the area.  3.)  Generally an addition should meet the signal appropriate in the building of which it is a part. An a	or alteration to an existing building shall be compatible of surrounding contributing buildings, public ways, and form; body (e.g., shape, size, proportions, stories, or chimneys); porches; doors and entryways; fenestration; ther elements such as storm or screen doors and windows, es, and colors. In addition the following shall be cluding, but not limited to, a garage, utility shed, porch, or nt with the existing streetscape. A porch, including its roof				

designed so that it does not detract from either.

	July 17, 2014
4.) Nothing in these guidelines is intended to constrain handicapped accessibility. All lifts or ramps shall be designed with sensitivity to the building's design.	
<ul> <li>UDG (2002) P.37:</li> <li>To reveal original building lines, openings, facing materials, architectural features and trim:</li> <li>Remove inappropriate additions such as false mansard roofs, false dormers, boxed over parapets and cornices, boxed entrances and covered windows.</li> <li>Restore historic and contributing buildings to as much of their original condition as possible; refer to Appendix B, "The Case for Rehabilitation and Preservation."</li> </ul>	

2. applicant: to be reviewed: ~ 6:45	<b>1560 North High Street</b> Stephanie Hayward (360 Architects) storefront modifications   signage	Campus Pa	rc			
review type	concept review new construc	tion X	ext. bldg. alteration	X sign or graphic		
- Teview type	variance, re-zoning or special permit		other (Code enforceme	ent)		
existing zoning	CPD / UID / UCO					
proposed zoning / variance						
guideline impacted	Building and Façade Design, Graphics					
analysis	The applicant is proposing to install a new storefront system and new signs for this space that has yet to be occupied in the South Campus Gateway. The space was reviewed by the UARB in June of 2013 for another Ohio State office function that did not end up moving to this location.  The storefront system is compatible with the UDG (2002) though the alignment of the vertical mullions should correspond with the mullions of the existing second floor window and door system; the signage is a little excessive, but may be appropriate given the location/visibility at the at the end of the SCG alley. The					
recommendation	approval X conditional a	pproval	disapproval	insufficient information		
conditions	Align mullions with window system above.					
basis	From page 38 of the UDG(2002):  To create new facades that will enhance the character of the corridor and add vitality to its street life:  • Take cues from the best elements of High Street buildings and echo their qualities with new materials, composition and colors while keeping to similar scale and proportions  From page 50 of the UDG(2002):  • Choose a sign that is consistent and harmonious with the architectural style of the property and the surrounding district.  • Convey the message of the sign with simplicity.  • Restrict copy to the name, address, function and logo of the establishment. Do not post rates and advertising of commodities and ancillary services.  • Install one primary and, if necessary, one secondary sign.  • The size and style of the graphic, its scale, proportion, design, material and texture, as well as the size and style of the lettering, must relate to the building to which it is attached, with the property, and with the district that surrounds it.  From page 52 of the UDG(2002):  • Locate wall signs within a sign band when one exists, usually above the transom.  • Where a sign band doesn't exist, locate the wall sign between the first floor transom and the second floor windowsill or below the eaves/cornice on a one-story building.  • Use the wall sign as the primary business sign.  • Install no more than two signs, one primary one secondary.  • Use lettering 8" to 16" high and which occupies no more than 65% of the board.  • Do not use internally illuminated box signs.					

applicant: to be reviewed: ~ 7:00	2361 North High Stro Dick Bigham (Bigham signage		New Taj M			
review type	concept review	new construc	tion	ext. bldg. alteratio	n X	sign or graphic
Teview type	variance, re-zoning	or special permit		other (Code enforc	cement)	
existing zoning	C-4 / UID / UCO					
roposed zoning / variance						
guideline impacted	Graphics					
analysis	Café International to	The new Taj Maha	l.	_		nd the establishment from nt will provide examples at
recommendation	approval	conditional a	pproval	disapproval	X	insufficient information
conditions						
basis	surrounding die Convey the me Restrict copy to advertising of o Install one prin The size and st style of the lett district that sur From page 52 of the UE Locate wall sign Where a sign b below the eave Use the wall sign Install no more	that is consistent and ha strict.  essage of the sign with sign the name, address, fur commodities and ancilla nary and, if necessary, on yie of the graphic, its scattering, must relate to the prounds it.  DG(2002):  Ins within a sign band who and doesn't exist, located es/cornice on a one-storing as the primary busines than two signs, one pri	mplicity. action and logo or services. he secondary sigule, proportion, ce building to white the wall sign bey building. ss sign. mary one second occupies no mor	lesign, material and texture that is attached, with the pure that is attached, with the pure that is attached, with the pure that is attached to the transom. It ween the first floor transom.	e, as well a	es and as the size and
<b>4.</b> applicant: to be reviewed:	<b>417-419 West 8<sup>th</sup> Av</b> Mike Eckholt (Owner		Multi-Fam	ily Residence		

applicant:	Mike Eckholt (Owner)				
to be reviewed:	roof				
~ 7:15					
review type -	concept review	new construction	Х	ext. bldg. alteration	sign or graphic
	variance, re-zoning or special permit			other (Code enforcement)	
existing zoning	AR-4 / UID				
proposed zoning / variance					
guideline impacted	Building and Façade Design				
analysis	The Applicant is proposing to remove an existing slate roof and install CertainTeed 'Landmark' (dimensional) Georgetown Gray asphalt shingles. The proposed shingles are on the approved Reshingling Roof   Specification R-1 document.  Staff offered the Applicant an option of replacing the slate with Certainteed 'Highland' Slate, GAF 'Camelot', Owens Corning 'Berkshire or Devonshire'; the list provided by Staff more closely resemble the existing clipped angle slate rather than the shake style/dimensional of the CertainTeed 'Landmark'.				
recommendation	approval	X   conditional approval		disapproval	insufficient information
conditions	Metal ridge roll and an approved roof material from the Board.				

## 3372.585 Development & design guidelines

To further the objective of compatible development within the Impact District, an application for a Certificate of Approval is subject to and evaluated upon the guidelines herein and any amplifications thereto adopted by the Review Board. Though the following guidelines assist the applicant and the Review Board to arrive at an appropriate proposal, they may not address or be applicable to every situation, and therefore, special circumstances may suggest variations that could yield an equally compatible project.

- (3) General guidelines:
- (c) <u>Building.</u> A new building or any addition or alteration to an existing building shall be compatible and appropriate with its own integrity and with that of surrounding contributing buildings, public ways, and places to which it is visually related in terms of: platform; body (e.g., shape, size, proportions, stories, or projections); roofs (e.g., cornice/eaves, dormers, or chimneys); porches; doors and entryways; fenestration; materials; ornamentation, trim and detailing; and other elements such as storm or screen doors and windows, skylights, awnings, mechanical equipment, mailboxes, and colors. In addition the following shall be considered:
- 1.) Elements of a non-habitable building, including, but not limited to, a garage, utility shed, porch, or exterior stair, should be compatible and/or consistent with the existing streetscape. A porch, including its roof and balustrade, should be in keeping with the residential character of the area.
- 2.) Building appurtenances and projections, including, but not limited to, a porch, stoop, bow or bay window, awning, exterior stair, light fixture, or signage, should be in scale with the total composition of the building itself and the character of the area.
- 3.) Generally an addition should meet the same guidelines as new construction, but should respond specifically to the building of which it is a part. An addition should not overpower the original building and should be added in an unobtrusive way. The connection of the addition to the original building should be designed so that it does not detract from either.
- 4.) Nothing in these guidelines is intended to constrain handicapped accessibility. All lifts or ramps shall be designed with sensitivity to the building's design.

## UDG (2002) P.37:

To reveal original building lines, openings, facing materials, architectural features and trim:

- Remove inappropriate additions such as false mansard roofs, false dormers, boxed over parapets and cornices, boxed entrances and covered windows.
- Restore historic and contributing buildings to as much of their original condition as possible; refer to Appendix B, "The Case for Rehabilitation and Preservation."

C. 1.	Applications for Zoning, Code Enforcement and/or Conceptual Review East 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, East 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Gateway South North High Street and Section Alley							
applicant: to be reviewed:	Erin Prosser (Campus Partners) conceptual review   Development Framework							
~ 7:30	X concept review	X   concept review   new construction   ext. bldg. alteration   sign or graphic						
review type	variance, re-zoning	variance, re-zoning or special permit		other (Code enforcement)				
existing zoning	C-4, ARO, R-4 / UID / UCO							
proposed zoning / variance	Height, Setbacks, Landscape, Materials, Uses							
guideline impacted	All: Site Planning, Parking Lots and Garages, Building and Façade Design, Backs of Buildings, Additions and							
	Infill Construction, New Construction, Graphics							
analysis	Campus Partners is presenting a development framework plan prior to submitting an application to rezone the land bounded by East 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, North High Street, East 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Section Alley.  Planning Staff is working with Campus Partners to revise the Zoning Text and Development Framework to more closely align with previous plans, policies, existing zoning and proposed polices in the forthcoming University District Plan.							

hasis

#### D. **Staff Issued Certificates of Approval**

items approved 17 West Oakland Avenue windows 97-99 East 11th Avenue fascia 87 McMillen Avenue stairs 199 East 15th Avenue parking 261-265 East Northwood Avenue porch windows 2470 North High Street 172 East Lane Ave addition | concur with HRC

2351-2357 North High Street windows roof

2141 Indianola Avenue

# **Board Approved Applications Issued Certificates of Approval**

approved: items approved COA issued 1988 North High Street 11/15/2013: garage 05/20/2014 (Pita Pit-Sign)

55 East 15th Avenue 03/20/2014: building and site 07/01/2014 (KKG Site Compliance Plan)

#### F. **Next Meeting**

E.

Thursday August 21, 2014 / 6:30pm / 2231 North High Street (Northwood & High Building, Room 100)